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Abstract—A novel technique to increase the pattern bandwidth of substrate integrated waveguide leaky-wave antennas (SIW LWAs) is proposed. By coupling several SIWs, it is shown that the gain at the desired angle can be kept stable across a wide frequency band. A systematic design methodology based on a simple transverse equivalent network is presented. Practical coupled-SIW designs with gain exceeding 10dB and pointing at 30° in the 15 GHz band, are reported to validate the theory. Simulated and experimental results demonstrate an enhancement of the half-power gain bandwidth from 2.5% (380 MHz) in the single-SIW design to 9% (1360 MHz) for a LWA composed of three coupled SIWs.

Index Terms—Broadband antennas, frequency beam squint, leaky-wave antennas, substrate integrated waveguide.

I. INTRODUCTION

Frequency-squint is a well-known property of leaky-wave antennas (LWAs), due to their characteristic frequency scanning behavior [1]. It might be a useful characteristic for particular scenarios such as frequency modulated-continuous wave (FMCW) radars [2]-[4], or frequency-steered near-field focusing systems [5]. However, the beam squint is an undesired feature which limits the practical bandwidth in important applications such as highly-directive point-to-point telecommunication radio links. For this reason, many efforts have recently been placed to design high-gain scanning LWAs with reduced frequency-scanning sensitivity [6]-[15]. A. Neto [6],[7] proposed a nondispersive printed leaky slot line embedded in a circularly symmetric elliptical dielectric lens which focus the radiated fields to a constant beam direction over a wide frequency range. However, this solution lacks the simple two-dimensional structure of planar LWAs. Planar LWAs using metamaterial unit-cells have been proposed to modify the frequency dispersion and reduce the beam squint [8]-[11]. Anisotropic meta-substrates [12] and non-reciprocal ferrite-based metamaterials [13] have also proven beam squint reduction. Finally, active circuits can provide non-Foster dispersion responses for squint-free LWAs operating at an off-broadside angle [14], [15]. In this paper we describe a totally different technique to improve the gain bandwidth of planar LWAs in substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) technology. The proposed technique dispenses the need of bulky dielectric lenses, metamaterial unit-cells, anisotropic materials, or active circuits. The novel structure is based on the SIW LWA [16] shown in Fig. 1a, which is modified by adding extra longitudinally coupled SIWs ([17], [18]) as shown in Fig. 1.

This coupled SIW LWA topology is inspired by a similar multi-layer arrangement used for Fabry-Pérot cavity antennas (FPA) [19]-[25]. The addition of extra coupled FP cavities has demonstrated increased pattern bandwidth for high-gain broadside radiation compared to the original single-cavity FPA. In the same manner, in this paper it is demonstrated for the first time that the high-gain radiation pattern of the single SIW LWA (Fig. 1a), can be improved in terms of gain bandwidth at a given off-broadside elevation angle by increasing the number of coupled SIWs. The design theory is based on a simple Transverse Equivalent Network (TEN) which is optimized to satisfy the associated phase resonance condition for the desired angle over a wide band, as described in Section II. Practical examples of coupled SIW LWAs operating at the frequency of 15 GHz and pointing at a 30° angle are presented in Section III, illustrating that higher bandwidth with squint-free condition is obtained as the number of coupled SIWs (N) is increased from 1 to 3. Finally, Section IV reports experimental validation performed on manufactured prototypes, and Section V presents the conclusions of this work.

Previous results presented in [17], [18] have been improved with the following contributions. First, a polynomial fitting of the transverse equivalent network components is proposed to reduce the time of optimization. Second, we use the half-power gain bandwidth definition to quantitatively demonstrate the improvement in the antenna bandwidth for the desired scanning angle. Third, detailed description of the optimization process to obtain the highest possible gain bandwidth is explained in section III.B. Finally, experimental demonstration is also reported for the first time in this paper.
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II. DESIGN THEORY

The structure of the proposed coupled SIW LWA is illustrated in Fig. 1. The original SIW LWA [16] shown in Fig. 1a is formed by a single SIW of width \( W_1 \) created between a dense row of vias of diameter \( d \) and periodicity \( P_0 \) acting as totally reflective wall, and a partially reflective sheet (PRS) of vias with similar diameter \( d \) and located at a bigger distance \( P_1 \) to allow for power leakage. Radiation occurs at the end fringe of the strip of width \( W_5 \) located at the right side of the SIWs in Fig. 1. A quasi-TE\( \text{lo}_0 \) leaky mode with dispersive complex longitudinal propagation constant \( k_y(f) \) can model this continuous radiation along the antenna length:

\[
k_y(f) = \beta_y(f) - j \alpha_y(f) \tag{1}
\]

The antenna length \( L \) behaves as a magnetic-current-line-source that radiates in the form of a fan beam. If low-leakage condition is assumed (\( \beta_i \gg \alpha_i \)), the elevation angle \( \theta_y \) (measured from broadside, see Fig. 2a) and the 3dB beamwidth \( \Delta \theta_y \) are related to the leaky-mode phase constant \( \beta_y \) by the following equations [1]:

\[
\sin \theta_y(f) \approx \frac{\beta_y(f)}{k_0} = c_0 \frac{\beta_y(f)}{2\pi f} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\Delta \theta_y(f) \approx \frac{1}{L/k_0 \cos \theta_y(f)} \tag{3}
\]

where \( k_0 \) is the free-space wavenumber, \( \lambda_0 \) is the free-space wavelength, and \( c_0 \) is the speed of light in air. To eliminate the frequency-beam squint, the angle \( \theta_k \) must be fixed to a constant goal value for all frequencies, so from (2) we obtain a linear dependence with frequency for \( \beta_y(f) \) that must be satisfied:

\[
\theta_k(f) = \theta_{\text{goal}} \Rightarrow \beta_y(f) = \beta_{y,\text{goal}}(f) = \frac{2\pi f}{c_0} \sin \theta_{\text{goal}} \tag{4}
\]

To analyze the leaky-mode phase constant dispersion \( \beta_y(f) \), a simple Transverse Equivalent Network (TEN) along the x-direction proposed in [26] and shown in Fig. 2 can be used. A T-junction network formed by two series capacitances \( C_S \) and a parallel inductance \( L_P \) given by Marcuvitz [27] is used to model each row of conducting vias of diameter \( d \) and for any periodicity \( P \). The strip fringe radiation impedance is modeled by a shunt capacitor \( C_p \) and a shunt resistance \( R_R \), which values are computed for the SIW host substrate of height \( H \) and relative dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) using Kuester approximation for thin substrates [28]. It must be taken into account that the values for \( C_S, L_P, C_R, \) and \( R_R \) depend on the internal angle of incidence \( \phi_{\text{inc}} \) shown in Fig. 1a [26], which is related to the leaky radiation angle \( \theta_k \) and phase constant \( \beta_y \) by the Snell equation:

\[
\sin \phi_{\text{inc}}(f) = \frac{\sin \theta_k(f)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_0}} \tag{5}
\]

For the efficient design of the multi-cavity SIW LWA, the values of \( C_S, L_P \) are first computed for a range of posts periodicity values \( P \) using an accurate in-house tool based on the Method of Moments (MoM) [26], and for any fixed incidence angle \( \phi_{\text{inc}} \). Then a second-degree polynomial fitting is carried out to obtain closed-form expressions for the component values as a function of \( P \):

\[
L_P(P) = a_L P^2 + b_L P + c_L \tag{6}
\]

\[
C_S(P) = a_C P^2 + b_C P + c_C \tag{7}
\]

Fig. 3 represents these functions for an internal angle of incidence \( \phi_{\text{inc}} = 19^\circ \), as a function of \( P \) in the range from \( P = 2 \) mm to \( P = 7 \) mm, and for a post diameter \( d = 1 \) mm. They are compared with MoM results showing good agreement in the full range of values of \( P \). Clearly the use of closed-form analytical expressions lowers the computational cost needed compared to full-wave MoM analysis. This time reduction is indispensable for the subsequent optimization technique, which needs hundreds of analyses to properly design the distance \( P \) between coupling posts for each row of coupling vias.
Next, the SIWs are modelled in the TEM by transmission lines of length $W_i$, TE characteristic impedance $Z_0=1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}$ and complex transverse propagation constant $k_x(f)$ related to the leaky-mode longitudinal constant $k_z(f)$ (1) by:

$$k_x(f) = \sqrt{k_0^2 \varepsilon_r - k_z(f)^2} = \beta_x(f) + j\alpha_x(f)$$ (8)

Under low-leakage conditions ($\beta_x \gg \alpha_x$) and using (2), (8) can be rewritten as a function of frequency and the angle $\theta_R$:

$$k_x(f) \approx \beta_x(f) = \frac{2\pi f}{c_0} \sqrt{\varepsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_R(f)}$$ (9)

so that the transverse phase constant $\beta_x(f)$ must follow the next linear function with frequency to satisfy the squint-free goal condition (4):

$$\beta_{x,GOAL}(f) = \frac{2\pi f}{c_0} \sqrt{\varepsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_{GOAL}}$$ (10)

The leaky-mode dispersion is given by the transverse resonance equation (TRE):

$$\rho_R e^{-2\pi f W_i} \rho_R = 1$$ (11)

where $\rho_R$ and $\rho_0$ stand for the reflection coefficients at the right and left sides of the SIW of width $W_i$ (see Fig. 2). Inserting (10) in (11) and taking phases, the following goal function $\Psi_{GOAL}(f)$ is obtained for the phase of the reflection coefficient $\rho_R$, as a function of frequency and for the goal angle $\theta_{GOAL}$, and a given width $W_i$:

$$\Psi_{GOAL}(f) = \frac{4W_i \pi f}{c_0} \sqrt{\varepsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_{GOAL}} - \phi_0(f, \theta_{GOAL}) + 2\pi q$$ (12)

where $q$ is any integer value, and $\phi_0$ is the phase of $\rho_0$ which depends on frequency and on the internal incidence angle $\phi_{INC}$.

As for the radiation angle $\theta_R$, the internal angle of incidence $\phi_{INC}$ is also fixed to a goal value to keep the squint-free condition. Inserting (4) in (5) we obtain:

$$\phi_{INC}(\theta) = \phi_{GOAL} = \arcsin \frac{\sin \theta_{GOAL}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}$$ (13)

The design goal is thus to determine the coupled SIWs dimensions (distances between coupling vias $P_i$, and SIW widths $W_i$) which satisfy over a wideband the reflection-phase condition $\Psi_R(f) = \Psi_{GOAL}(f)$, or in other words, which minimize the following error function for the reflection phases:

$$\Delta \Psi(f, W_i, P_i) = \Psi_{GOAL}(f, W_1) - \Psi_R(f, W_{>1}, P_i)$$ (14)

It is noted that the first SIW width $W_1$ determines the goal reflection phase $\Psi_{GOAL}$, while the rest of SIW widths $W_i$ with $i>1$ and the distance between coupling vias $P_i$ determine the reflection phase of the right part of the circuit $\Psi_R$. The design methodology is described in the next section with practical examples.

### III. DESIGN EXAMPLES

The SIW substrate is set to $H=0.508$ mm, $\varepsilon_r=2.2$, and the diameter of the vias is fixed to $d=1$ mm. The row of vias at the left is set to a distance $P=2$ mm so that leakage is prevented from this side. The desired pointing angle is chosen to $\theta_{GOAL}=30^\circ$ and the design frequency is $15$ GHz. The internal incidence angle (13) is then fixed to $\phi_{GOAL}=19^\circ$. The following subsections illustrate how an increased number of coupled SIWs (from $N=1$ to $N=3$) can be optimized in provide higher squint-free bandwidth. Table I summarizes the optimized dimensions of the five studied designs.

#### A. Single SIW LWA ($N=1$)

For the single SIW LWA, the dimensions $W_1$, $P_1$ and $W_2$, can be directly obtained in (16) as explained in (21) to achieve the desired pointing angle at the operating frequency ($\theta_{GOAL}=30^\circ$ at 15 GHz). The values are summarized in Table I for $N=1$. With these dimensions, Fig. 4 shows the goal reflection-phase $\Psi_{GOAL}(f)$ for a squint-free response in the frequency band from 12 GHz to 18 GHz. Also, the magnitude $|\rho_R(f)|$ and the phase $\Psi_R(f)$ of the reflection coefficient $\rho_R$ for this $N=1$ design are plotted. As shown in Fig. 4, the reflection-phase condition $\Psi_R(f) = \Psi_{GOAL}(f)$ for leaky-mode radiation at fixed $\theta=30^\circ$ is only satisfied at the design frequency of 15 GHz. The goal reflection phase $\Psi_{GOAL}(f)$ has a positive slope with frequency, which cannot be followed by the reflection phase $\Psi_R(f)$ of a single row of PRS posts, which has a negative phase gradient as shown in Fig. 4.

The frequency response for the directivity of this $N=1$ SIW LWA design has been simulated using HFSS software for three different finite antennas of lengths $L=16$ cm ($L=8$ at 15 GHz), $L=20$ cm and $L=32$ cm, all of them scanning at the desired angle $\theta=\theta_{GOAL}=30^\circ$ at 15 GHz. Fig. 5 represents the normalized
TABLE I
OPTIMIZED DIMENSIONS OF COUPLED SIW LWA FOR $\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>SIW Width $W_i$ (mm)</th>
<th>Vias Periodicity $P_i$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$W_i=7.45, W_2=1.8$</td>
<td>$P_i=3.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>$W_i=7.37, W_2=8.27, W_3=2.52$</td>
<td>$P_i=3.76, P_3=7.75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>$W_i=7.29, W_2=9.98, W_3=2.58$</td>
<td>$P_i=3.91, P_3=7.75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>$W_i=7.23, W_2=7.86, W_3=2.58$</td>
<td>$P_i=4.05, P_3=7.75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$W_i=7.19, W_2=6.93, W_3=6.9, W_4=2.99$</td>
<td>$P_i=3.57, P_3=3.29, P_4=3.37$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Reflection coefficient for single-cavity SIW LWA with dimensions given in Table I with $N=1$, and reflection-phase error function for $\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$.

directivity frequency response obtained in the frequency range from 14 GHz to 16 GHz for the three antenna lengths. Due to the inherent frequency-scanning behavior of LWAs [1], a beam is scanned from near-broadside ($\theta=0^\circ$) at low frequencies to endfire ($\theta=90^\circ$) direction as frequency is increased. As a result, the frequency response of the directivity at the desired angle $\theta=\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$ shows a maximum at the design frequency of 15 GHz. The directivity at $30^\circ$ drops above and below this design frequency of 15 GHz, resulting in a limited 3 dB pattern bandwidth (PBW). Longer LWAs provide narrower beams (3) and higher directivities and thus reduced PBW, while shorter antennas result in wider beams and higher bandwidth, as shown in Fig. 5. In any case, this is the common behavior of LWAs, which limits its performance for broadband point-to-point applications as commented in the introduction of this paper.

B. Two Coupled SIW LWA ($N=2$)

Broadband operation with a fixed angle can be obtained if the antenna reflection phase response $\Psi_R(f)$ provides a positive phase gradient which matches $\Psi_{\text{GOL}}(f)$ in the desired bandwidth. Similar positive phase-gradient responses have been applied for wideband FPA radiating at broadside [19]-[25], [29]-[32]. In our case, this type of response can be obtained by inserting an extra row of vias with period $P_2$, which couples energy to an extra SIW of width $W_2$, thus leading to a coupled SIW LWA of order $N=2$ as represented in Fig. 1b.

This is illustrated in Fig. 6, where the reflection coefficients (magnitude and phase) for three different coupled SIW LWA designs with $N=2$ are plotted. The coupled SIW dimensions were optimized to minimize the phase-error function (14) as explained in Section II, and they are summarized in Table I. As can be observed, the reflection phase $\Psi_R(f)$ now presents a positive slope which satisfies the squint-free condition $\Psi_R(f)=\Psi_{\text{GOL}}(f)$ for $\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$ not only at 15 GHz, but also in a given bandwidth starting at 15 GHz and ending at 15.31 GHz for case $N=2a$ (Fig. 6a), 15.52 GHz for case $N=2b$ (Fig. 6b), and 15.65 GHz for case $N=2c$ (Fig. 6c). Also, the reflection magnitude $|\Psi_R(f)|$ is different to the $N=1$ case, showing a resonant behavior around the design frequency of 15 GHz.

To better compare the three different designs, the resulting phase-error functions $\Delta\Psi(f)$ (14) are plotted in detail in Fig. 7. It can be observed that the squint-free condition $\Delta\Psi(f=0)$ is satisfied at 15 GHz, and also at two extra frequency points above, which can be distributed closer or further apart to determine the resulting free-squint bandwidth. The case $N=2a$ in Figs. 7 shows a squint-free range from 15 GHz to 15.31 GHz, the case $N=2b$ from 15 GHz to 15.52 GHz, and the case $N=2c$ from 15 GHz to 15.65 GHz.

Although one could think that more separated squint-free frequency points provide a wider free-squint bandwidth, this is not strictly true. Actually, the condition $\theta_{\text{R}}=\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$ is guaranteed only at the $2N=1$ frequency points where the TEN reflection-phase error function $\Delta\Psi(f)$ is zero. As shown in Fig. 7, intermediate frequency points provide higher phase error as the frequency zeros are more separated (see $N=2c$ in Fig. 7). On the contrary, closer zeroes provide a flatter response of the error function (see $N=2a$ in Fig. 7). As the error function $\Delta\Psi(f)$ becomes higher, the condition $\theta_{\text{R}}=\theta_{\text{GOL}}=30^\circ$ is less certain and it is expected the associated leaky-mode pointing angle $\theta_{\text{R}}$ to be more distant from the desired angle of $30^\circ$.

To obtain the variation with frequency of the leaky-mode pointing angle $\theta_{\text{R}}(f)$ with respect to the desired fixed angle $\theta_{\text{GOL}}$, a leaky-mode dispersion analysis must be carried out. An approximate dispersion analysis can be performed by inserting the complex reflection coefficient $\rho_2(f)$ obtained for the optimized designs (shown in Fig. 6) in (11). Then, the TRE (11) is solved for the unknown complex transverse propagation constant $k_2(f)$, from which the leaky-mode longitudinal propagation constant $k_2(f)$ can be derived using (8). Finally,
from the phase constant $\beta_c(f)$, the dispersion of the angle $\theta_\phi(f)$ is obtained using (2). Fig. 8 shows the frequency dispersion for $\theta_\phi(f)$ for the three SIW LWAs designs of order $N=2$.

As expected, the three designs satisfy the condition $\theta_\phi=\theta_{\text{GAM}}=30^\circ$ at 15 GHz and at two more frequency points which correspond with the frequencies of zero phase-error in Fig. 7. For intermediate frequencies, the leaky-mode pointing angle fluctuates from the goal angle of $30^\circ$, and this deviation is more pronounced for case $N=2c$ ($30^\circ \pm 2.8^\circ$), and less pronounced for case $N=2a$ ($30^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$).

The above characteristics indicate design trade-offs should be applied. In particular, since the objective is to keep the LWA pointing angle to the desired angle $\theta_\phi=\theta_{\text{GAM}}=30^\circ$ over the wider band, the trade-off relates to the location of the frequency zeros of $\Delta \psi(f)$. Ultimately this reflects to the stability of the gain/directivity at the desired beam pointing angle ($30^\circ$ in our case). For the three designs of order $N=2$ (all of them with the same antenna length as for the $N=1$ design, i.e. $L=16$ cm), the directivity at $\theta_\phi=30^\circ$ as obtained using HFSS is plotted in Fig. 9.

For the case $N=2a$, a 3dB PBW from 14.75 GHz to 15.53 GHz (780 MHz) is obtained, showing slight improvement when compared to the $N=1$ case with 430 MHz of PBW (see Fig. 5). This can be attributed to the close location of the squat-free frequency points in Fig. 8. On the contrary, the case $N=2c$ showed too separated frequency zeros and too strong variation in the leaky-mode pointing angle (see Fig. 8), which results in a strong drop of directivity for intermediate frequency points as observed in Fig. 9 around 15.25 GHz. Therefore, the $N=2b$ design is the one that provides the most stable directivity response, offering a PBW of 970 MHz from 14.75 GHz to 15.72 GHz. A trade-off between PBW and ripple can be noticed; if lower ripple is needed, the maximum bandwidth must be reduced by locating the associated zeros to closer frequencies.

As previously commented, this numerical optimization is computationally affordable thanks to the use of closed-form expressions for all the components of the TEN. A gradient-based optimization scheme has been used to minimize the error function (12), taking 1500 simulations for convergence. To validate the results, the reflection coefficient $\rho_\phi(f)$ obtained with MoM is also represented with circles in Fig. 6, showing good agreement.

### C. Three Coupled SIW LWA ($N=3$)

Following a similar optimization procedure as for the $N=2$ SIW LWA, a third-order ($N=3$) SIW LWA has been designed using the TEN shown in Fig. 2d. The optimized dimensions are summarized in Table I, and the resulting reflection coefficient is plotted in Fig. 10. Again, good matching is observed between TEN and full-wave MoM results for this $N=3$ design.

Fig. 11 compares the phase-error function of this $N=3$ design, with the error functions obtained for $N=1$ and $N=2b$. As expected, the squat-free condition $\Delta \psi(f)=0$ is satisfied at $2N-1=5$ frequency points which can be distributed in a wider bandwidth (from 15 GHz to 15.85 GHz) than for the $N=1$ and $N=2$ cases.
In our case, the leaky-mode pointing angle $\theta(f)$ oscillates around $\theta_{\text{GOAL}}=30^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 12. As for the $N=2b$ case, the ripples of $\theta(f)$ have been kept within a level of $30^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$, so that the PBW is optimized as explained in subsection III.B. The case $N=3$ presents 5 frequency points which satisfy $\theta(f) = \theta_{\text{GOAL}}=30^\circ$, and are distributed in the aforementioned squint-free bandwidth from 15 GHz to 15.85 GHz (850 MHz). This is an improvement compared to the $N=2b$ design that shows a squint-free bandwidth from 15 GHz to 15.52 GHz (520 MHz).

Finally, Fig. 13 shows the normalized gain at $30^\circ$ obtained using HFSS, for the three optimized designs with $N=1$, $N=2b$ and $N=3$. These full-wave results demonstrate how the gain at $30^\circ$ presents a bandpass frequency response which half-power gain bandwidth increases with the number of coupled SIW's. Particularly, the low frequency limit in Fig. 13 is close to 14.5 GHz, which corresponds to the onset of the leaky mode in the coupled SIW structure for the three designs. Then, the gain rises and oscillates with low ripple (below 3dB), and above a given upper frequency it drops. This behavior is expected according to the leaky-mode dispersion curves in Fig. 12. Table II summarizes the half-power gain frequency band and the resulting PBW for each design. It is obtained an increase of the bandwidth as the order of the coupled SIW LWA increases; from 2.6% (400 MHz) for the $N=1$ antenna, to 6.4% (970 MHz) for the $N=2b$ antenna, and finally to 9.5% (1420 MHz) for the $N=3$ SIW LWA design.
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### IV. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION
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prototypes of the designed antennas, which are shown in Fig. 
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achieve leakage. As a result, the width of the radiating leaky-
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The measured input matching for the three prototypes is 
plooted in Fig. 16 together with simulated HFSS results, 
observing good agreement. The three coupled-SIW LWA 
prototypes present a cutoff frequency close to 14 GHz, where 
the onset of the leaky-mode radiation occurs close to broadside 
direction (θ=0°). As commented, it is difficult to match the 
structure at this cutoff frequency. This is the reason why the 
bandwidth improvement is designed to be at frequencies higher 
than 15 GHz. This way, once the microstrip-to-SIW LWA 
transition for one antenna is optimized, the transition for the rest 
will be very similar (because they will have almost the same 
cutoff frequency) and easier to optimize starting from the 
parameters of the first design. Then, as frequency increases the 
matching improves showing a wide band performance with 
reduced S11. The impedance-matching bandwidth with S11<- 
10dB covers the range from 14.7 GHz to 17 GHz for the three 
designs. As it can be seen in Fig.16, the matching at lower 
frequencies is degraded with adding coupled SIWs. This is due 
to the reduced width presented by the input SIWs for higher- 
order designs. As shown in Table I, W1 is reduced from 7.45mm 
for N=1 to 7.29mm for N=2b and to 7.19mm for N=3. As a 
result, the input SIW presents higher cutoff frequency for 
higher-order designs, thus increasing the mismatch for lower 
frequencies.

However, the practical bandwidth of the antenna is limited 
by the aforementioned pattern bandwidth (PBW) for the desired 
pointing angle (in our case θ=θGOAL=30°). The simulated and 
measured frequency response of the gain at the designed angle 
of θGOAL=30° for the three prototypes is reported in Fig. 17.

Good agreement is observed in Fig. 17 between the simulated 
and experimental results, demonstrating higher PBW as the 
order of coupled SIWs is increased. Finally, Fig. 18 compares 
the measured normalized gain frequency responses for the three 
manufactured prototypes, at the designed direction of θ=30°. 
Table IV summarizes the measured performance with taking 
into account the two main limiting factors in the overall SIW 
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### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Half-power gain bandwidth at θ=30</th>
<th>PBW at θ=30</th>
<th>Fractional BW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>14.81 GHz – 15.24 GHz</td>
<td>400 MHz</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=2b</td>
<td>14.75 GHz – 15.72 GHz</td>
<td>970 MHz</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>14.88 GHz – 16.30 GHz</td>
<td>1420 MHz</td>
<td>9.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14. Photograph of manufactured prototypes a) N=1 b) N=2b c) N=3.
Fig. 15. Detail of microstrip-to-coupled-SIW leaky-line feeding.

TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{IN}}^{\text{STRIP}}$</td>
<td>1.62 mm</td>
<td>$W_{\text{IN}}^{\text{SIW}}$</td>
<td>12.40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{OUT}}^{\text{STRIP}}$</td>
<td>4.53 mm</td>
<td>$W_{\text{OUT}}^{\text{SIW}}$</td>
<td>7.40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{STRIP}}$</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>$L_{\text{SIW}}$</td>
<td>42 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16. Simulated and measured input matching frequency response for the three prototypes N=1, N=2b and N=3.

Fig. 17. Simulated and measured gain frequency response at the design pointing angle $\theta_{\text{Goal}}=30^\circ$, for the three prototypes a) N=1 b) N=2b c) N=3.

Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Impedance Bandwidth (GHz) ($S_{11}&lt;-10\text{dB}$)</th>
<th>half-power gain bandwidth at $\theta=30^\circ$ (GHz)</th>
<th>Peak Directivity (dBi)</th>
<th>Peak Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>14.42–17</td>
<td>14.82–15.20</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=2b</td>
<td>14.79–17</td>
<td>14.76–15.76</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>14.78–17</td>
<td>14.84–16.20</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The typical single-SIW LWA prototype shows a narrow gain bandwidth of 380 MHz at 15 GHz (i.e. 2.5% fractional PBW), due to the well-known frequency beam squint associated to common SIW LWAs. The peak gain of this antenna is 12.8dBi, produced at a frequency of 15 GHz, with an aperture length of $L=16\text{ cm}=8\lambda_0$ at 15 GHz, with a directivity of 13.9dBi and a radiation efficiency of 77.6%. The proposed new design with N=3 coupled SIWs demonstrate a superior performance, with a PBW of 1360 MHz and a peak gain of 11.2dBi, produced at 15.2 GHz (and similar radiation efficiency of 77.7% for a lower directivity of 12.3dBi). As usually happens, there is a tradeoff between the gain and the bandwidth. In our case this is translated into a 1.6dB drop in gain and directivity, for an improvement of 3.6 times of the associated pattern bandwidth (from 380 MHz to 1360 MHz, i.e. from 2.5% to 9% fractional bandwidth).

The directivity reduction for higher order $N$, can be attributed to lower aperture efficiency created by the interaction of multiple coupled leaky modes, which can radiate at different angles. This can be observed in the measured radiation patterns shown in Fig. 19. Effectively, while N=1 order LWA design shows the typical single scanned beam pattern, higher order designs produce several peaks in the H-plane radiation patterns, which might be distributed at different scanning angles (see for instance the evident formation of two peaks in the N=2b design at 15.36GHz in Fig. 19c). Obviously, this reduces the associated antenna directivity. However, it is indeed the contribution of different leaky modes to radiation at the desired angle $\theta=30^\circ$ for different frequencies, what makes the resulting gain bandwidth to increase for this angle for higher order N designs. The radiation patterns have been plotted for three frequencies inside...
Fig. 19. Measured H-plane radiation patterns (θ=90°) for different frequencies in the designed antennas bandwidth  a) N=1, c) N=2b, e) N=3. Measured E-plane radiation patterns (θ=30°) b) N=1, d) N=2b, f) N=3.

The PBW of each design. They are normalized to the maximum gain, so that it is easy to observe that in the upper and lower frequencies inside the PBW for each design, a 3dB drop in the gain occurs at the angle θ=30°. Also, the respective E-plane patterns (for θ=30°) are shown in Fig. 19, showing the typical fan beam which is less directive in this plane perpendicular to the antenna.

On the other hand, the variation of the radiation efficiency with frequency for the three designed antennas, is depicted in Fig. 20. It must be observed again that this radiation efficiency is understood as the relation between the directivity and the gain at the angle of interest θ=30°. This efficiency evaluates how efficiently the power is being radiated to this design angle θ=30° at any frequency inside the antenna bandwidth. As it can be seen, the three designs present efficiency values over 60% in all the bandwidth.

V. CONCLUSION

It has been demonstrated that by suitably coupling leaky SIW lines, the beam-squint effect associated to directive SIW LWAs can be reduced, obtaining a wider gain bandwidth for a designed elevation angle. The proposed coupled-SIW LWA topology maintains the planar, single feeding, and passive properties of SIW LWAs. The structure is extremely integrated, thanks to the use of longitudinally coupled SIW guides along the antenna length. In this way, it is avoided the use of bulky 3D lenses, non-Foster active arrays, or more complicated nonreciprocal structures reported in previous squint-free LWAs technologies.

The TEN used to design this wideband SIW LWA, suggests that coupled-cavity filter synthesis techniques could be applied for a systematic design of this type of planar high-gain SIW antennas with application for broadband point-to-point wireless communications.
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