



# Proceedings Ecological corridors and landscape planning: A study to develop a regional network of protected areas in Sardinia (Italy)

Federica Isola<sup>1</sup>, Federica Leone<sup>1</sup> and Corrado Zoppi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture, University of Cagliari; federica.isola@unica.it (F.I.), federicaleone@unica.it (F.L.)

\* Correspondence: zoppi@unica.it (C.Z); Tel.: +39 070 6755213

Abstract: Ecological corridors (ECs) aim at establishing or maintaining ecological connectivity 8 among habitat patches in terms of migration of wild species, climate change adaptation, and 9 maintenance and restoration of ecological processes. In Sardinia, the Regional Landscape Plan 10 (RLP) defines a spatial taxonomy of the region in relation to landscape components characterized 11 by environmental relevance in order to establish protection levels. Building on previous research 12 works, this study aims at defining and implementing a methodology to identify ECs connecting the 13 Sardinian protected areas and at assessing the relations between ECs and the spatial taxonomy de-14 fined by the RLP. 15

Keywords: ecological corridors; regional landscape plan; protected areas

16

17 18

19

42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

## 1. Introduction

According to IUCN [1], ecological corridors aim at establishing or maintaining eco-20 logical connectivity among habitat patches in terms of genetic exchange, migration of 21 wild species [2], climate change adaptation [3], maintenance and restoration of ecological 22 processes [4] and mitigation of undesirable processes [5]. Moreover, connectivity 23 strongly influences ecosystem services (ESs) provision [6]. For example, spatial biotic and 24 abiotic flows affect regulating ESs, such as pollination and seed dispersal [7]. Several 25 authors [8-9] claim that connectivity concerning ESs depends on land cover structure and 26 spatial patterns such as location and physical continuity. Both land cover structure and 27 spatial patterns influence connectivity, which is identified by Taylor et al. as «...the de-28 gree to which the landscape facilitates or impedes movement among resource patches» 29 [10] (p. 571). 30

In Sardinia, an insular region of Italy, RLP approved by the Deliberation of the Sardinian Regional Government no. 36/7 of September 5, 2006, defines a spatial taxonomy of the region on the basis of landscape components characterized by environmental relevance (LCERs) in order to establish protection levels in relation to the value of nature and natural resources. 35

Building on Cannas' previous research work [11, 12], this study aims at: i. defining 36 and implementing a methodology to identify ECs connecting the Sardinian protected 37 areas; ii. assessing the relations between ECs and the spatial taxonomy defined by the 38 RLP. By means of this methodology, the current RLP zoning code is analyzed in order to 39 detect if, and to what extent, the present planning implementation code can be used to 40 protect and enhance the effectiveness of ECs. 41

### 2. Area of study

Sardinia is one of the two largest Italian islands, with an area of around 24,000 km<sup>2</sup>. 43

**Citation:** Isola, F.; Leone, F.; Zoppi, C., 2022, Ecological corridors and landscape planning: A study to develop a regional network of protected areas in Sardinia (Italy). SUPTM 2022 conference proceedings sciforum-051853.

https://doi.org/10.31428/10317/10482

**Publisher's Note:** UPCT and Sciforum stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

12

13

14

15

17

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

This study analyzes a set of protected areas conceptualized as the nodes of the Sardinian 1 regional ecological network and identified as follows: i. regional parks, identified under 2 the provisions of the Regional Law no. 31/1989; ii. publicly-managed forests, adminis-3 tered by the Regional Agency for Forests; iii. permanent oases of faunal protection, iden-4 tified under the provisions of the Regional Law no. 23/1998; iv. Ramsar sites, designated 5 under the Ramsar Convention; and, v. Natura 2000 sites, which include Sites of Com-6 munity Interest (SCI) and Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), established under the 7 provisions of Directive no. 92/42/EEC (the "Habitats Directive"), and Special Protection 8 Areas (SPA), designated by Directive no. 2009/147/EC (the "Birds Directive"). Marine 9 protected areas and national parks are not there because the study only focuses on ter-10 restrial contexts characterized by land cover changes. 11

#### 3. Methodology

This study builds upon a methodology developed by Cannas and applied in previous studies [11,12] where ECs are mapped with reference to the metropolitan city of Cagliari and to the whole Sardinian Regions.

The methodological approach is structured into two main phases. The first phase 16 concerns spatial identification of potential ECs based on the Least-cost path (LCP) and Cost-weighted distance (CWD) analyses. LCP and CWD identify connections between 18 habitat patches that entail minimum resistance to movement and, as a consequence, 19 paths characterized by the highest probability of species flows [13]. In a nutshell, the LCP 20 and CWD analysis finds sequences of least-cost patches which minimize the 21 cost-weighted distance between the nodes of a spatial network in terms of movement. 22 23

- The first phase is structured into four steps as follows:
- definition of a habitat suitability map based on findings shown in a report commis-1. sioned by the Sardinian regional administration [14], where scores related to habitat suitability are assigned to Sardinian Natura 2000 sites on the basis of the land cover classes identified by the Corine Land Cover (CLC) Taxonomy, and;
- 2. definition of an ecological integrity map based on Burkhard et al.'s work [15];
- definition of a resistance map defined on the basis of the inverse of the sum of the З. habitat suitability and ecological integrity values, according to the approach proposed in a report of the European Environment Agency [16];
- spatial identification of ECs and definition of a raster map of CWD values, through 4. Linkage Mapper, a GIS tool.

Variable	Description
CWD	Cost-weighted distance.
SV	Scrub vegetation in dry areas and wetlands
WL	Woodlands.
GR	Grasslands.
CC	Cork and chestnut woods.
ST	Specialized and tree crops.
AW	Artificial woods.
SH	Specialized herbaceous crops, agricultural and forest areas, and un-cultivated areas
AL	Altitude

Table 1. Definition and description of variables relating to the LCERs.

In the second phase, the raster map of the CWD values is clustered into ten groups 35 identified by the deciles of its distribution. Patches whose CWD values are lower than the 36 second decile are identified as suitable to be included in an EC. The resulting map is 37 overlaid with the LCERs reported in Table 1. A linear multiple regression model is used 38 in order to assess the relation between patches' suitability to be included in ECs and the 39 spatial taxonomy defined by the RLP. 40

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

The model takes the following form:

$CWD = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SV + \beta_2 WL + \beta_3 GR + \beta_4 CC + \beta_5 ST + \beta_6 AW + \beta_7 SH + \beta_8 AL$	(1),	
where:		

- CWD is the dependent variable;
- SV, WL, GR, CC, ST, AW, SH are the explanatory variables;
- AL is a control variable.

#### 4. Results

Estimates of the multiple linear regression model are reported in Table 2. The control variable AL shows significant p-values and a positive sign. Therefore, patches characterized by lower CWD or higher connectivity are likely to occur in areas with comparatively lower altitude, such as flat areas, as expected.

The explanatory variables related to the LCERs are expressed as percentages of the patch areas. The estimates of the marginal effects on CWD are significant at 6.6%, with the exception of SV, which is only significant at 10.8 percent.

The results of the regression show that being identified as a LCER has a positive 15 impact on the suitability of a patch to be part of an EC, since the percentage increase in 16 each LCER is correlated with a decrease in CWD, with the exception of specialized and 17 tree crops (ST), whose coefficient is positive and indicates that a 1 percent increase in ST 18 at the regional level entails an average increase of about 7.7 meters in the average CWD 19 of the ECs. 20

Table 2. Regression results.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard	t-statistic	p-value	Mean
		Deviation			
SV	-2.77172	26,775.40	-1.60534	0.108428	16,962.44
WL	-7.20867	29,513.47	-4.16805	0.000031	18,038.76
GR	-5.80510	27,314.39	-3.35834	0.000785	18,879.67
CC	-6.91227	12,865.24	-3.49271	0.000479	3,190.58
ST	7.70003	11,326.36	3.69729	0.000218	3,107.70
AW	-5.33205	16,500.74	-2.85482	0.004309	4,721.13
SH	-3.16692	31,984.68	-1.84476	0.065081	23,207.82
AL	1.45191	275.76	22.37541	0.000000	365.36

As regards the remaining LCERs, wooded areas (WL) and cork and fruit chestnut 22 woods (CC) are the most suitable to enhance the effectiveness of the ECs, with coeffi-23 cients equal to 7.2 and 6.9 respectively, that implies an average ECs' CWD decrease of 7.2 24 meters and 6.9 meters related to a 1% increase at the regional level. Grasslands (GR) and 25 artificial woods (AW) show positive and slightly lower impacts, since their coefficients 26 are equal to 5.81 and 5.33 respectively. Finally, the marginal effects of the variables re-27 lated to scrub vegetation in dry areas and wetlands (SV, coefficient equal to 2.77), and to 28 specialized herbaceous crops, agricultural and forest areas, and uncultivated areas (SH, 29 coefficient equal to 3.16), are decidedly lower. 30

#### 5. Conclusions

The estimates of model (1) help to assess whether, and to what extent, the current zoning rules of the RLP are suitable to implement an effective spatial system of ECs.

The transition of land uses from agriculture to forestry is associated to a decrease in 34 CWD. For this to take place, public financial support is definitely needed to compensate 35 the income loss of farmers. This is likely to occur, in terms of financial feasibility, as re-36 gards areas classed as SH (specialized herbaceous crops, agricultural and forest areas, 37 and un-cultivated areas) and, to a greater extent, with reference to ST areas (specialized 38 and tree crops), whereas transitions concerning agricultural intensive production, char-39 acterized by the highest rents, are unlikely, due to the often unaffordable public financial 40 effort needed thereof. 41

21

31

32 33

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

In conclusion, spatial policies aimed at strengthening the effectiveness of regional 1 networks of the natural protected areas through the protection and enhancement of ECs 2 must be studied, defined and implemented on the basis of habitat quality, ecological integrity and health of ecosystems, with particular attention to land use conditions and 4 transition opportunities. 5

**Author Contributions:** Federica Isola (F.I.), Federica Leone (F.L.), and Corrado Zoppi (C.Z.) collaboratively designed this study. Individual contributions are as follows: F.I. wrote Section 1 and 2; F.L. wrote Section 3 and 5; C.Z. wrote Section 4.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The study was implemented within the Research Program "Paesaggi rurali della Sardegna: pianificazione di infrastrutture verdi e blu e di reti territoriali complesse" [Rural landscapes of Sardinia: Planning policies for green and blue infrastructure and spatial complex networks], funded by the Autonomous Region of Sardinia for the period 2019–2021

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Hilty, J.; Worboys, G.L.; Keeley, A.; Woodley, S.; Lausche, B.; Locke, H.; Carr, M.; Pulsford, I.; Pittock, J.; White, J.W.; Theobald, D.M.; Levine, J.; Reuling, M.; Watson, J.E.M.; Ament, R.; Tabor, G.M. *Guidelines for Conserving Connectivity through Ecological Networks and Corridors. Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series no.* 30; IUCN: Gland, Switzerland, 2020.
- 2. Menxiu, T.; Lin, Z.; Li, J.; Zöckler, C.; Clark, N.A. The critical importance of the Rudong mudflats, Jiangsu Province, China in the annual cycle of the spoon-billed sandpiper Calidris pygmeus. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* **2012**, 119(3), 74-77.
- 3. Keeley, A.T.H; Ackerly, D.D.; Cameron, D.R.; Heller, N.E.; Huber, P.R.; Schloss, C.A.; Thorne, J.H.; Merenlender, A.M. New concepts, models, and assessments of climate-wise connectivity. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2018**, *13*, 073002 (18 pp.).
- 4. Doyle, M.W.; Stanley, E.H.; Luebke, M.A.; Harbor, J.M. Dam removal: Physical, biological, and societal considerations. In Building Partnerships, Proceedings of the 2000 Joint Conference on Water Resources Engineering and Water Resources Planning and Management; Minneapolis, MN, 2000; pp. 1-10.
- 5. Ladonina, N.N.; Cherniakhovsky, D.A.; Makarov, I.B.; Basevich, V.F. *Managing agricultural resources for biodiversity conservation: Case study of Russia and CIS countries.* Environment Liaison Center International, 2001.
- 6. Mitchell, M.G.E.; Bennett, E.M.; Gonzalez, A. Linking landscape connectivity and ecosystem service provision: Current knowledge and research gaps. *Ecosystems* **2013**, *16*(*5*), 894-908.
- 7. Kremen, C.; Williams, N.M.; Aizen, M.A.; Gemmill-Herren, B.; LeBuhn, G.; Minckley, R.; Packer, L.; Potts, S.G.; Roulston, T.; Steffan- Dewenter, I.; Vazquez, D.P.; Winfree, R.; Adams, L.; Crone, E.E.; Greenleaf, S.S.; Keitt, T.H.; Klein, A-M.; Regetz, J.; Ricketts, T.H. Pollination and other ecosystem services produced by mobile organisms: a conceptual framework for the effects of land-use change. *Ecol. Lett.* 2007, 10(4), 299-314.
- 8. D'Ambrogi, S.; Gori, M.; Guccione, M.; Nazzini, L. Implementazione della connettività ecologica sul territorio: Il Monitoraggio ISPRA 2014 [The implementation of spatial ecological connectivity: The 2014 Monitoring of ISPRA]. *Reticula* **2015**, *9*, 1-7.
- 9. Mitchell, M.G.E.; Bennett, E.M.; Gonzalez, A. Linking landscape connectivity and ecosystem service provision: Current knowledge and research gaps. *Ecosystems* **2013**, *16*(5), 894-908.
- 10. Taylor, P.; Fahrig, L.; Henein, K.; Merriam, G. Connectivity is a vital element of landscape structure. *Oikos* 1993, 68, 571–573.
- Cannas, I.; Zoppi, C. Ecosystem services and the Natura 2000 Network: A study concerning a green infrastructure based on ecological corridors in the metropolitan city of Cagliari. In 17th International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA 2017), Lecture Notes in Computer Sciences Series; Gervasi, O., Murgante, B., Misra, S., Borruso, G., Torre, C., Rocha, A.M.A.C., Taniar, D., Apduhan, B.O., Stankova, E., Cuzzocrea, A., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; Volume 10409, pp. 379–400.
- 12. Cannas, I.; Lai, S.; Leone, F.; Zoppi, C. Green infrastructure and ecological corridors: A regional study concerning Sardinia. *Sustainability* **2018**, *10*(4), 1265 (21 pp.).
- 13. Balbi, M.; Petit, E.J.; Croci, S.; Nabucet, J.; Georges, R.; Madec, L.; Ernoult, A. Title: Ecological relevance of least cost path analysis: An easy implementation method for landscape urban planning. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2019**, 244, pp. 61–68.
- 14. AGRISTUDIO; CRITERIA; TEMI. Realizzazione del Sistema di Monitoraggio dello Stato di Conservazione degli Habitat e delle Specie di Interesse Comunitario della Regione Autonoma della Sardegna. Relazione Generale, Allegato 1b: Carta dell'Idoneità Faunistica [Implementation of the Monitoring System Concerning the Conservation Status of Habitats and Species of Community Interest of the Autonomous Region of Sardinia. General Report, Attachment 1b: Habitat Suitability Map]; MIMEO. Unpublished work, 2011.
- 15. Burkhard, B.; Kroll, F.; Müller, F.; Windhorst, W. Landscapes' Capacities to provide ecosystem services a concept for land-cover based assessments. *Landscape Online* **2009**, *15*, pp. 1–22.
- EEA (European Environment Agency). Spatial Analysis of Green Infrastructure in Europe, EEA Technical Report no. 2/2014; Publications Office of the European Union: , Luxembourg, 2014.