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Abstract

A model for computerized generation of spiral bevel gears in the cyclo-palloid system is proposed. Kinematic conditions of cutting are investigated for tooth thickness and backlash control. Basic machine-tool settings are analytically determined from basic transmission data with the aim of getting favorable conditions of meshing and contact when the gear drive is transmitting a nominal or design load and shaft deflections occur. Errors of alignment of the gear drive due to shaft deflections are considered as input data in the analytical procedure. The proposed procedure is tested through tooth contact and backlash analyses of the gear drive. Several numerical examples are presented.
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1. Introduction

Face-hobbing process is widely applied in the industry for generation of spiral and hypoid gears due to its high productivity. Localization of the bearing contact in spiral and hypoid gear drives is a common practice and there are mainly two face-hobbing systems that provide different ways to localize the bearing contact: System (1) is based on the application of a single head-cutter where the cutter tilt angle is used to modify the curvatures of the tooth surfaces, whereas System (2) is based on the application of a dual head-cutter where two separate rotating centers are considered and lead crowning is achieved due to the different cutter radii of inner and outer blades. Processes Spirac\textsuperscript{c}, Spiroflex\textsuperscript{c} and CycloCut\textsuperscript{c} belong to System (1) whereas Cyclo-palloid\textsuperscript{c} belongs to System (2) \[1, 2\]. While the first system provides more simplicity for machine construction, the second system allows to pay attention just to the head-cutter to localize the bearing contact, regardless of the rest of machine-tool settings that are calculated according to the cutter radii.

Cyclo-palloid system allows conjugated tooth surfaces to be obtained if cutter radii are equal to each other. The basis of cyclo-palloid system can be found in \[3\]. Lelkes et al.\[4\] explored the possibilities of cyclo-palloid system to localize the bearing contact through lead and profile directions starting from the base of conjugated tooth surfaces. Shih et al.\[5\] proposed an universal hypoid generator mathematical model that includes, among face-milling and face-hobbing methods, the cyclo-palloid system. Later Kawasaki et al.\[6\] investigated conditions of contact and meshing in large-sized spiral bevel gear drives produced through the cyclo-palloid system.

Analytical determination of basic machine-tool settings from basic transmission data was successfully applied to face-milled and face-hobbed spiral and hypoid gears in \[7\] for determination of the gear member following Standard ANSI/AGMA 2005-C96 \[8\] and considering System (1) as a generating or as a non-generated process. Then, an algorithm for the synthesis of the pinion is required to obtain favorable conditions of meshing and contact, as the ones illustrated in \[9, 10, 11, 12, 13\] for spiral bevel and hypoid gears. The simplicity of the cyclo-palloid system for obtention of conjugated tooth surfaces in spiral bevel gears allows analytical determination of basic machine-tool settings to be obtained not only for the gear, but also for the pinion, following the mentioned standard. Then, application of similar ideas for localization of the bearing contact to those shown in \[4\] allows the formation of the bearing contact to be controlled in a spiral bevel gear drive.

Since errors of alignment caused by shaft deflections may affect considerably to the conditions of meshing and contact, machine-tool settings need to be accordingly corrected. A method for machine-tool settings correction was
proposed in [14] to compensate manufacturing errors in the cyclo-palloid system. In the present paper, an analytical method for machine-tool settings correction in order to compensate errors of alignment due to shaft deflections is proposed. Procedures for compensation of shafts deflections have been proposed in [15] for spur gear drives and in [16] for spiral bevel gears generated by face-milling.

The main goals of research in this paper are summarized as follows:

(i) Computerized generation of the tooth surfaces of a spiral bevel gear drive considering the cyclo-palloid system and establishment of the kinematic conditions of cutting for tooth thickness and backlash control.

(ii) Analytical determination of basic machine-tool setting from basic transmission data for spiral bevel gears produced through the cyclo-palloid system.

(iii) Analytical correction of machine-tool settings to compensate errors of alignment caused by shaft deflections.

(iv) Application of localization of the bearing contact for observation of favorable conditions of meshing and contact for the design load.

Tooth contact and backlash analyses are considered to prove the goodness of the proposed ideas. Several numerical examples are presented.

2. Computerized generation of spiral bevel gears through the cyclo-palloid system

The cyclo-palloid system is based on the application of a dual face-hobbing cutter as the one that is shown in Fig. 1. Here, two separate disks with rotating axes \( \mathbf{a}_i \) and \( \mathbf{a}_o \) are considered. The inner blades are attached to the disk with rotation axis \( \mathbf{a}_i \) whereas the outer blades are attached to the disk with rotation axis \( \mathbf{a}_o \).

The main aspects of the geometry of the blades of a dual face-hobbing cutter are similar to those already described for a face-hobbing cutter in [17, 18, 19]. However, details of the geometry of the blades are exposed here for a major clarity of the considered variables to control the contact pattern, as it will be shown in Section 5.

2.1. Geometry of the blades

Figure 2 shows several geometries for a inner blade and the coordinate systems \( S_a \) and \( S_b \) for the definition of the cutting edge. Their origins are located at reference point \( P_i \). Definition of the cutting edge for an outer blade is similar and it is not included here for the purpose of simplicity. Profile pressure angle \( \alpha_i \) (measured on the front plane) is related to blade pressure angle \( \alpha_{ib} \) through relation (see [7]):

\[
\tan \alpha_i \cdot \cos \alpha_{ir} = \tan \alpha_{ib}
\]

Here, \( \alpha_{ir} \) is the rake angle. System \( S_b \) results from the rotation of system \( S_a \) around axis \( y_a \) the value \( \alpha_{ir} \). Blade pressure angle \( \alpha_{ib} \) is defined in plane \( x_b y_b \).
Position vector of point $Q$ of the cutting edge is defined in system $S_a$ as

$$
\mathbf{r}(Q)_a = \begin{bmatrix}
-u \sin \alpha_i - a_p (u - u_o)^2 \cos \alpha_i \\
+u \cos \alpha_i - a_p (u - u_o)^2 \sin \alpha_i \\
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Here, $a_p$ is the parabola coefficient and $u_o$ locates the apex of the parabola $Q_o$. A straight profile may be derived considering $a_p = 0$. Parameter $u_o$ may be useful to shift the bearing contact in profile direction.

Other profile definitions [19] are also possible as those based on circular arcs, those based on application of top rem for root relief of the gear tooth, or those based on application of tip relief.

Finally, the cutting edge can be obtained in system $S_b$ by coordinate transformation

$$
\mathbf{r}(Q)_b = \mathbf{M}_{ba} \mathbf{r}(Q)_a
$$

Here, $\mathbf{M}_{ba}$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix given as

$$
\mathbf{M}_{ba} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_{ir} & 0 & \sin \alpha_{ir} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \alpha_{ir} & 0 & \cos \alpha_{ir} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Additional rotations to consider hook angle of the blades can be also applied as it is illustrated in [18, 19].

2.2. Geometry of the cutter

Figure 3 shows a schematic representation of a cyclo-palloid cutter where just two blades are installed. Here, cutter radii $r_{ci}$ and $r_{co}$ for the inner and the outer blades are exaggeratedly different for the purpose of a better clarity in the representation. Reference points $P_i$ and $P_o$ describe circular trajectories about centers $O_{ci}$ and $O_{co}$, respectively. Such trajectories are exclusively due to the rotations of both disks of the dual face-hobbing cutter on the cradle, not
represented in Fig. 3. Both blades are usually assembled considering that axes $x_{bi}$ and $x_{bo}$ are aligned with lines that are in tangency with circles $c_i$ and $c_o$ at points $T_i$ and $T_o$, respectively. The radii for such circles, $\rho_i$ and $\rho_o$, are determined as

$$\rho_i = \rho_o = \frac{m_b N_b}{2}$$

Here, $m_b$ is the blade module and $N_b$ is the number of blade groups.

The assembly angles $\delta_i$ and $\delta_o$ are then given as

$$\delta_k = \arcsin\frac{\rho_k}{r_{ck}}, \quad k = i, o$$

Figure 3 shows that point $P'_o$ represents the position of point $P_o$ when it passes through point $P_i$. In such situation, axis $x_{bo}$ will be aligned with axis $x_{bi}$ and both axes will be in tangency with circles $c_i$ and $c_o$ at points $T_i$ and $T'_o$, respectively. Coordinate transformation from system $S_{bi}$ to system $S_{ck}$, $k = (i, o)$, allows the cutting edge to be defined in system $S_{ck}$.

$$r_{ck}^{(Q)} = M_{ck} r_{bi}^{(Q)}$$

Here, subscript $k$ is suppressed for a major clarity.

Matrix $M_{cb}$ is given as

$$M_{cb} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_k & 0 & -\sin \delta_k & r_{ck} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \delta_k & 0 & \cos \delta_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = i, o$$

Figure 3: Schematic representation of the geometry of a cyclo-palloid cutter.
2.3. Settings of cutter and gear

Both, cutter and gear, are installed in the cutting machine considering a coordinate system $S_m$ that is attached to the frame. Figure 4 illustrates the kinematic operation of the blades in system $S_m$. Points $O_{ci}, O_{co},$ and $P_i \equiv P'_o$ are positioned in coordinate system $S_m$. Two magnitudes of the gear are represented here, the mean cone distance $A_m$, that is equal to $O_mP'_i$, and the mean spiral angle $\psi_m$. The positioning of the gear will be illustrated below.

The cutter disks are carried out by a cradle (not represented in Fig. 4) that rotates around an axis that is perpendicular to plane $x_my_m$ and passes through point $O_m$. The relative velocities of points $P_i$ and $P'_o$ respect to the machine frame are represented by vector $v_{cm}$ that makes angle $\psi_m$ with axis $x_m$. The line that is perpendicular to vector $v_{cm}$ forms the so-called slope angle $\nu$ respect to segment $O_mP_i$. Such a line is aligned with segments $P_iT_i$ and $P'_oT'_o$. The intersections of such a line with segments $O_mO_{ci}$ and $O_mO_{co}$ allows instantaneous centers of rotations $C_i$ and $C_o$ of the motions of the cutter disks respect to the machine frame to be determined. The instantaneous trajectories of points $P_i$ and $P'_o$ about points $C_i$ and $C_o$ are illustrated as well in Fig. 4. The common tangent to such trajectories at point $P_i \equiv P'_o$ coincides with vector $v_{cm}$. Points $C_i$ and $C_o$ allows the roll and base circles of the relative motions of the blades respect to the frame to be determined.

The slope angle $\nu$ is given as

$$\nu = \arcsin \frac{\rho_i}{r_{ci}} = \arcsin \frac{m_bN_b}{2r_{ci}}$$

(9)

The eccentricity $e$ is then derived as

$$e = \sqrt{r_{co}^2 - r_{ci}^2 \sin^2 \nu - r_{ci} \cos \nu}$$

(10)

Definition of assembly angles $\delta_i$ and $\delta_o$ according to Eq. (6) implies that

$$\delta_i = \nu, \quad \delta_o = \nu - \gamma$$

(11)
although this is not mandatory (Eq. (6) is also not mandatory). Here, $\gamma$ is an auxiliar angle defined as

$$
\gamma = \arcsin \frac{e \sin \nu}{r_{co}} 
$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Figure 5 shows the assembly of the cutter and the gear in coordinate system $S_m$. Center $O_{ci}$ (respectively, $O_{co}$) is positioned through the machine distance for the inner blades $M_{di}$ (respectively, the machine distance for the outer blades $M_{do}$) and the cradle angle $q_{2k}$ (respectively, $q_{2\nu_o}$) and are given as follows

$$
M_{dk} = \sqrt{A_m^2 + r_{ck}^2 - 2A_m r_{ck} \sin(\psi_m - \nu_k)}, \quad k = (i, o) \hspace{1cm} (13)
$$

$$
q_{2k} = \arcsin \left[ \frac{r_{ck}}{M_{dk}} \cos(\psi_m - \nu_k) \right], \quad k = (i, o) \hspace{1cm} (14)
$$

with $\nu_i = \nu$ and $\nu_o = \nu - \gamma$.

On the other hand, the gear is positioned with the apex of the pitch cone at point $O_m$ whereas the pitch cone axis forms the pitch angle $\gamma_g$ with axis $x_m$.

![Figure 5: Assembly of cutter and gear in coordinate system $S_m$ for a right-hand gear.](image)

2.4. Computerized generation of the gear

Figure 6 shows the applied coordinate systems for computation of gear tooth surfaces. Here, rotations of the cutter disks are considered through magnitudes $\theta_i$ and $\theta_o$. Auxiliar coordinate systems $S_{ci'}$ and $S_{co'}$ are considered for coordinate transformation from systems $S_{ci}$ and $S_{co}$ that are attached to the blades.

$$
r_{ck'}(u, \theta_k) = M_{ck', ck}(\theta_k)r_{ck}(u), \quad k = (i, o) \hspace{1cm} (15)
$$
Here, \( M_{ck,ck}(\theta_k) \) is a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix given as

\[
M_{ck,ck} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_k & -\sin \theta_k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta_k & \cos \theta_k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad k = (i, o)
\] (16)

Coordinate system \( S_d \) is attached to the cradle and rotates the magnitude \( \psi_{cr} \) respect to system \( S_m \). Coordinate transformation from system \( S_{ck'} \) to system \( S_m \) is given as

\[
r_m(u, \theta_k, \psi_{cr}) = M_{md}(\psi_{cr})M_{dk'} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ o \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = (i, o)
\] (17)

Here,

\[
M_{md} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi_{cr} & -\sin \psi_{cr} & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \psi_{cr} & \cos \psi_{cr} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (18)

\[
M_{dk'} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin(\psi_m - \nu_k) & 0 & \cos(\psi_m - \nu_k) & M_{dk} \cos q_{2k} \\
-\cos(\psi_m - \nu_k) & 0 & \sin(\psi_m - \nu_k) & M_{dk} \sin q_{2k} \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad k = (i, o)
\] (19)

with \( \nu_i = \nu \) and \( \nu_o = \nu - \gamma \).

Finally, coordinate transformation from system \( S_m \) to system \( S_g \) attached to the gear is given as

\[
r_g(u, \theta_k, \psi_{cr}, \psi_g(\theta_k, \psi_{cr})) = M_{gq}(\psi_g)M_{qm}r_m(u, \theta_k, \psi_{cr})
\] (20)

Here,

\[
M_{gq} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi_g & \sin \psi_g & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \psi_g & \cos \psi_g & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (21)
\[
M_{qm} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \gamma & 0 & -\cos \gamma & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\cos \gamma & 0 & \sin \gamma & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (22)

\[\psi_g = m_{gc} \cdot \psi_{cr} - m_{gb} \cdot \theta_k, \quad k = (i, o)\] (23)

Here, \(m_{gc}\) is the ratio of gear-to-cradle roll (known as the ratio of gear roll or velocity ratio) and \(m_{gb}\) is the ratio of gear-to-blade roll. The ratio of gear roll is derived considering the relation between the linear velocities of the cradle and the gear at pitch point \(M\)

\[\omega_{cr} A_m = \omega_g A_m \sin \gamma_g\] (24)

\[m_{gc} = \frac{\omega_g}{\omega_{cr}} = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma_g}\] (25)

The ratio of gear-to-blade roll is given as

\[m_{gb} = \left(\frac{\psi_g}{\psi_{cr}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\psi_{cr}}{\theta_k}\right), \quad k = (i, o)\] (26)

Here,

\[
\left(\frac{\psi_{cr}}{\theta_k}\right) = \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{bk}}, \quad k = (i, o)
\] (27)

where \(N_c\) can be considered as the number of teeth of a crown gear that rotates rigidly connected to the cradle and \(N_g\) is the gear tooth number. On the other hand,

\[
\left(\frac{\psi_{cr}}{\theta_k}\right) = \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{bk}} \quad k = (i, o)
\] (28)

where \(\rho_k\) and \(\rho_{bk}\) are the radii of roll and base circles, respectively (see Fig. 4). The relation between such radii satisfies the relation

\[
\frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{bk}} = \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{(m_b N_b) / 2}{(m_b N_c) / 2} = \frac{N_b}{N_c}, \quad k = (i, o)
\] (29)

where \(\rho_k\) was already defined (see Eq. (5)) and \(\rho_{cr}\) (see Fig. 4) can be considered as the crown gear radius. Finally, the ratio of gear-to-blade roll gives

\[m_{gb} = \frac{N_c}{N_g} \cdot \frac{N_b}{N_c} = \frac{N_b}{N_g}\] (30)

Simultaneous consideration of Eq. (20) and the equation of meshing

\[
\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_k}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_k}{\partial \theta_k}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_k}{\partial \psi_{cr}} = 0
\] (31)

allows the gear tooth surfaces to be determined [20].

2.5. Variation of the slope angle for tooth thickness control

The variation of the slope angle \(\nu\) (see Fig. 4) allows the kinematic operation of the blades to be changed in order to modify the tooth thickness of the gear tooth and, consequently, to control the backlash at the gear drive while keeping freedom in the selection of the cutting radii \(r_{ci}\) and \(r_{co}\) for localization of the bearing contact.

The ratio of gear-to-blade roll can be defined considering the slope angle \(\nu\) (see Fig. 4)

\[m_{gb} = \left(\frac{\psi_g}{\psi_{cr}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\psi_{cr}}{\theta_k}\right) = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma_g} \cdot \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma_g} \cdot \frac{r_{ck} \sin \nu_k}{A_m \cos \psi_m}, \quad k = (i, o)\] (32)

with \(\nu_i = \nu\) and \(\nu_o = \nu - \gamma\).
Figure 7 shows the effects of an additional slope angle $\Delta \nu$ on the kinematic operation of the blades and on the machine-tool settings for the cutter. The additional slope angle $\Delta \nu$ modifies the radii of the roll circles that are set now to $\rho'_i$ and $\rho'_o$, while the radius $\rho_{cr}$ is kept constant. The new eccentricity $e'$ is given now as

$$e' = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho'_{co}} - r_{ci}^2 \sin^2(v + \Delta \nu) - r_{ci} \cos(v + \Delta \nu)}$$ (33)

The new machine distances and cradle angles are given then as

$$M'_{dk} = \sqrt{A_m^2 + r_{ck}^2 - 2A_m r_{ck} \sin(\psi_m - \nu'_k)}, \quad k = (i, o)$$ (34)

$$\psi'_{2k} = \arcsin \left[ \frac{r_{ck}}{M'_{dk}} \cos(\psi_m - \nu'_k) \right], \quad k = (i, o)$$ (35)

with $\nu'_i = \nu + \Delta \nu$ and $\nu'_o = \nu + \Delta \nu - \gamma'$. Here,

$$\gamma' = \arcsin \left( \frac{e' \sin(v + \Delta \nu)}{r_{co}} \right)$$ (36)

The new gear-to-blade roll is given now as

$$m'_{gb} = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma_g} \cdot \frac{\rho'_{i}}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma_g} \cdot \frac{r_{ck} \sin \nu'_k}{A_m \cos \psi_m}, \quad k = (i, o)$$ (37)

Since $\rho'_i = \rho'_o$, the same ratio of gear-to-blade roll is obtained for inner and outer blades.

The assembly angles $\delta'_i$ and $\delta'_o$ can be set as

$$\delta'_i = \nu + \Delta \nu, \quad \delta'_o = \nu + \Delta \nu - \gamma'$$ (38)

The effects of the additional slope angle $\Delta \nu$ will be shown in Section 5.
3. Analytical determination of pinion and gear basic machine-tool settings from blank data

The blank data of a spiral bevel gear drive are those data that allow a complete definition of the layout of the gear drive. Such data were derived in [15] for spiral bevel and hypoid gears produced either by face-milling or face-hobbing. Determination of blank data from basic data such as the power to transmit, the gear ratio, the pinion speed, the shaft angle, and the quality of gears, can be based as well on the application of Standard ANSI/AGMA 2005-C96 [8] for the case of spiral bevel gears produced through the cyclo-palloid system. Once that the blank data are determined, basic machine-tool settings can be easily derived for pinion and gear considering, initially, conjugated action and zero backlash.

Inner and outer cutter radii can be set as \( r_{ci} = r_{co} = r_c \), where \( r_c \) is the mean cutter radius that is selected according to Standard ANSI/AGMA 2005-C96 among the available tools. Since the mean normal module \( m_{nn} \) is also determined among the blank data following the mentioned standard, the blade module \( m_b \) can be set as \( m_b = m_{nn} \) and the slope angle \( \nu \) can be determined from Eq. (9). The mean cone distance \( A_m \), the mean spiral angle \( \psi_m \), and the gear tooth number \( N_g \), \( g = (1, 2) \), are also blank data. Here, subindex 1 is applied to the pinion and subindex 2 is applied to the gear. Machine distances, cradle angles, gear-to-cradle ratios, and gear-to-blade ratios, are then determined according to Eqs. (13), (14), (25), (30), respectively, either for the pinion or the gear.

Regarding the position of the pinion (or the gear) in the cutting machine, the basic machine-tool settings are set as follows (see [15] to identify nomenclature and settings):

- Machine root angles \( \gamma_{m1} = \gamma_1 \), \( \gamma_{m2} = \gamma_2 \), where \( \gamma_g \), \( g = (1, 2) \), are the pitch angles.
- Blank offsets \( \Delta E_{m1} = 0 \), \( \Delta E_{m2} = 0 \).
- Sliding bases \( \Delta X_{B1} = 0 \), \( \Delta X_{B2} = 0 \).
- Machine centers to back \( \Delta X_{D1} = 0 \), \( \Delta X_{D2} = 0 \).

These settings correspond to the position that is illustrated in Fig. 5 for a right-hand gear.

Pinion and gear mean normal chordal addendums, \( a_{c1} \) and \( a_{c2} \), and pinion and gear mean normal chordal tooth thicknesses, \( t_{n1} \) and \( t_{n2} \), can also be derived from application of the mentioned standard for observation of a given backlash. Here, application of an additional slope angle \( \Delta \nu \) according to Subsection 2.5 is very important since it will allow tooth thicknesses to be modified and contact conditions to be kept.

Figure 8 shows points \( M_l \) and \( M_o \) of the gear tooth surfaces that belong to a normal plane \( x_ny_n \) that passes through the pitch point \( M \) whereas its normal axis \( z_n \) forms the angle \( \psi_m \) with longitudinal axis \( z_k \). The distance between points \( M_l \) and \( M_o \) is given by \( t_{ng}, g = (1, 2) \). Both points are at a distance \( a_{cg}, g = (1, 2) \), from the tip. Both points can be set by coordinate transformation from system \( S_n \) to system \( S_g \) as follows

\[
\mathbf{r}_{g}^{(M_l)}(u^{(M_l)}, \theta^{(M_l)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_l)}, \Delta \nu_g, \phi_g) = \mathbf{M}_{ng}(\psi_g) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{g}^{(M_l)}(u^{(M_l)}, \theta^{(M_l)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_l)}, \Delta \nu_g)
\]

\[
\mathbf{r}_{g}^{(M_o)}(u^{(M_o)}, \theta^{(M_o)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_o)}, \Delta \nu_g, \phi_g) = \mathbf{M}_{ng}(\psi_g) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{g}^{(M_o)}(u^{(M_o)}, \theta^{(M_o)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_o)}, \Delta \nu_g)
\]

Here, \( \Delta \nu_g \) is the required additional slope angle to get \( t_{ng} \), and \( \phi_g \) is the required angle of rotation of the gear to get points \( M_l \) and \( M_o \) at the positions illustrated in Fig. 8. Vector \( \mathbf{r} \) is obtained considering the machine-tool settings derived in Subsection 2.5 to take into account \( \Delta \nu_g, g = (1, 2) \). \( \mathbf{M}_{ng} \) is a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix for coordinate transformation from system \( S_n \) to system \( S_g \).

A total of eight unknowns \([u^{(M_l)}, \theta^{(M_l)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_l)}, u^{(M_o)}, \theta^{(M_o)}, \psi_{cr}^{(M_o)}, \Delta \nu_g, \phi_g]\) are to-be-obtained. The problem requires eight equations to be numerically solved by using, for example, the Newton-Raphson method [21]. The eight condi-
Figure 8: For determination of normal chordal tooth thickness $t_n$.

Equations are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_i(u(M), \theta(M), \psi_{cr}(M), \Delta \nu_g) &= 0 \quad (41) \\
    f_o(u(M), \theta(M), \psi_{cr}(M), \Delta \nu_g) &= 0 \quad (42) \\
    r(M_x) - (a_{mg} - a_{cg}) &= 0 \quad (43) \\
    r(M_y) - \frac{t_{mg}}{2} &= 0 \quad (44) \\
    r(M_z) &= 0 \quad (45) \\
    r(M_x) - (a_{mg} - a_{cg}) &= 0 \quad (46) \\
    r(M_y) - \frac{t_{mg}}{2} &= 0 \quad (47) \\
    r(M_z) &= 0 \quad (48)
\end{align*}
\]

Here, $f_i = 0$ and $f_o = 0$ are the corresponding equations of meshing for points $M_i$ and $M_o$, and $a_{mg}$ is the gear mean addendum (another blank data). The upper sign is considered for a right-hand gear whereas the lower sign is for a left-hand gear. The problem is solved twice, for pinion and gear, obtaining the additional slope angles $\Delta \nu_1$ and $\Delta \nu_2$ for observation of tooth thicknesses $t_{n1}$ and $t_{n2}$.

4. Corrections of machine-tool settings for compensation of errors of alignment

Errors of alignment due to shaft deflections may be obtained by stress analysis of a gear drive where the shafts are included into the finite element model. Examples of determination of errors of alignment due to shaft deflections in
spiral bevel gear drives can be found in [16]. Such errors are obtained for a design load (a torque). Figure 9 shows the alignment errors exaggeratedly large for a better illustration. Errors are defined in a fixed coordinate system $S_f$ as pinion axial error $\Delta A_1$, wheel axial error $\Delta A_2$, shaft angle error $\Delta \gamma$, and shortest center distance error $\Delta E$.

Figure 9: Illustration of errors of alignment in a spiral bevel gear drive.

Compensation of errors of alignment in spiral bevel gears produced through the cyclo-palloid system may be provided by determination of basic machine-tool settings at the design stage. Figure 10 shows again the errors of alignment defined in the fixed coordinate system $S_f$. Additionally, fixed machine coordinate systems $S_{m1}$ and $S_{m2}$ are included to illustrate how pinion and gear will be set in the cutting machine, respectively. Pinion and gear are represented by means of their layouts. Here, pitch points $M_1$ and $M_2$ of pinion and gear, respectively, coincide at point $M$ if no errors of alignment occur.

Knowing in advance the errors of alignment though the procedure exposed in [16], it will be possible to redesign the gear drive for compensation of such errors. Existence of a shaft angle error $\Delta \gamma$ implies that a gear drive with a shaft angle of $\gamma + \Delta \gamma$ has to be redesigned. Determination of the axodes or pitch cones of the new gear drive may be performed considering axis $O_mM$ as the new instantaneous axis of rotation between pinion and gear [20]. This provides auxiliary pitch angles $\gamma'_1$ and $\gamma'_2$

$$\gamma'_1 = \arctan \frac{\sin(\gamma + \Delta \gamma)}{m_{12} + \cos(\gamma + \Delta \gamma)}$$

(49)

$$\gamma'_2 = \arctan \frac{\sin(\gamma + \Delta \gamma)}{m_{21} + \cos(\gamma + \Delta \gamma)}$$

(50)

Here, $m_{12} = 1/m_{21} = N_2/N_1$ is the gear ratio. The auxiliary pitch angles $\gamma'_1$ and $\gamma'_2$ are considered for determination of basic-machine tool settings, but not for the modification of the pitch angles of pinion and gear.

New machine root angles $\gamma'_{m1}$ and $\gamma'_{m2}$ are then set to

$$\gamma'_{m1} = \gamma'_1$$

(51)

$$\gamma'_{m2} = \gamma'_2$$

(52)
On the other hand, gear-to-cradle rolls are set to

\[
m'_1c = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma'_1}
\]

\[
m'_2c = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma'_2}
\]

whereas gear-to-blade rolls are set to

\[
m'_1b = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma'_1} \cdot \frac{r_k \sin \psi_k}{\lambda_m \cos \psi_m}, \quad k = (i, o)
\]

\[
m'_2b = \frac{1}{\sin \gamma'_2} \cdot \frac{r_k \sin \psi_k}{\lambda_m \cos \psi_m}, \quad k = (i, o)
\]

Besides, wheel axial error \( \Delta A_2 \) will provide a new value for the machine center to back \( \Delta X_{D2} \)

\[
\Delta X_{D2} = \Delta A_2
\]

whereas the pinion axial error \( \Delta A_1 \) and the shortest center distance error \( \Delta E \) provides new values for the machine center to back \( \Delta X_{D1} \) and the pinion blank offset \( \Delta E_{m1} \)

\[
\Delta X_{D1} = \Delta A_1
\]

\[
\Delta E_{m1} = \Delta E
\]

The proposed procedure for compensation of errors of alignment keeps the settings for the cutter such as inner and outer machine distances and inner and outer cradle angles as unmodified. The advantage is that such settings can be reserved for tooth thickness and backlash control through the additional slope angles of pinion and gear, and for lengthwise mismatch of pinion and gear tooth surfaces through the cutter radii.

5. Computerized simulation of meshing and contact. Numerical examples.

Simulation of meshing and contact of pinion and gear tooth surfaces is performed through a general purpose algorithm for tooth contact analysis (TCA). It is based on a numerical method that takes into account the position of the tooth surfaces and minimize the distances until contact is achieved, regardless of the type of contact (linear, point, or edge contact). The algorithm assumes rigid body behavior of tooth surfaces and is based on the work [22] and applied later in the works [23, 24]. Three pairs of teeth, two cycles of meshing, and a virtual compound thickness of
0.0065 mm have been used for determination of contact patterns and functions of transmission errors for all examples shown below. A total of 21 contact positions along the two cycles of meshing have been considered.

Simulation of backlash of the gear drive is based on: (i) computation of contact on one side of the gear tooth surfaces based on the previous algorithm, and (ii) computation of rotational backlash of the gear until contact is achieved in the opposite side of the gear tooth surfaces while the pinion is held at rest for each given contact position. The process is repeated for the same chosen number of contact positions than for TCA and considering three pairs of teeth and two cycles of meshing. Simulation of backlash allows backlash evolution analysis and interference detection even when errors of alignment are present. The results can be expressed in terms of circumferential backlash by multiplication of the rotational backlash and the outer pitch radius of the gear.

Geometry comparison analysis is based on computation of the distances between two chosen gear tooth surfaces, called primary and secondary geometries. The distances are measured along the normal at each point of a regular grid of points on one of the gear tooth surfaces, the primary geometry, and from such a point to the intersection point of the normal and the other gear tooth surface, the secondary geometry. Geometry comparison can be performed either considering the same rim angular position for both geometries or forcing the contact on one side (concave or convex) of the gear tooth surfaces.

The numerical examples are organized as follows:

(i) Determination of basic machine-tool settings from basic transmission data.

(ii) Advantages of the additional slope angle to control tooth thickness and backlash.

(iii) Adjusting the bearing contact in the cyclo-palloid system.

(iv) Compensating errors of alignment.

5.1. Determination of basic machine-tool settings from basic transmission data

The basic transmission data for a spiral bevel gear drive and the basic cyclo-palloid cutter data are represented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference gear ratio</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft angle [degrees]</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input power [KW]</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinion speed [rpm]</td>
<td>2000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA quality number</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutter radius [mm]</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of blade groups</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application of Standard ANSI/AGMA 2005-C96 allows blank data to be determined as it is shown in Table 2 for pinion and gear. Uniform tooth taper is assumed for gears produced through the cyclo-palloid system. This type of gears uses the mean normal module as reference instead of the outer transverse module. Table 2 shows as well the minimum normal backlash and the mean normal chordal tooth thicknesses that are required according to the calculations based on the mentioned standard. The minimum normal backlash $B$ is measured at the outer cone. The results of basic machine-tool settings are represented in Table 3. The same radii for inner and outer blades were considered, $r_{ci} = r_{co} = 75$ mm. An slope angle of $\nu = 6.502314$ degrees with additional slope angles $\Delta \nu_1 = 0.103669$ degrees and $\Delta \nu_2 = 0.078489$ degrees were obtained according to the procedure described in Section 3.

Figure 11 shows the corresponding gear drive and the results of application of TCA when the convex side of the pinion tooth surfaces acts as driving surface. It is observed linear contact since the same radii for outer and inner blades were applied. The evolution of circumferential backlash along 21 contact positions is also illustrated in Fig. 11. A value about $B_C \approx 212.0 \mu m$ is obtained. Here, the circumferential backlash is evaluated considering
5.2. Advantages of the additional slope angle to control tooth thickness and backlash

In case that the additional slope angles $\Delta \nu_1$ and $\Delta \nu_2$ are not applied, the gear drive will be provided with zero backlash. Figure 12 shows the results of geometry comparison between a pinion tooth surface provided with the additional slope angle $\Delta \nu_1 = 0.103669$ degrees, $\Sigma_g$, and a pinion tooth surface without additional slope angle, $\Sigma_o$. Both surfaces are kept almost parallel each other as it is shown in Fig. 12(a). Figure 12(b) shows how close are both surfaces when contact between them is forced. The advantage of the additional slope angle $\Delta \nu_g$, $g = (1, 2)$, is that surface $\Sigma_o$ is very close to surface $\Sigma_g$, but shifted respect to it (as it is shown in Fig. 12(a)) for the purpose of tooth thickness and backlash control.

Another way to provide backlash is by making different the radii for inner and outer blades. Suppose that no additional slope angles are provided and cutter radii are set as $r_{oi} = 75.0$ mm and $r_{eo} = 76.8$ mm for the pinion.

---

Table 2: Blank data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blank Data</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tooth number</td>
<td>$N_1 = 16$</td>
<td>$N_2 = 41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 21.318$</td>
<td>$\gamma_2 = 68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\psi_m = 35.0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand of spiral</td>
<td>left-hand</td>
<td>right-hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer transverse module [mm]</td>
<td>$m_{ot} = 4.874$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean normal module [mm]</td>
<td>$m_{mn} = 3.397$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cone distance [mm]</td>
<td>$A_m = 91.265$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face width [mm]</td>
<td>$F_w = 32.0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer addendum [mm]</td>
<td>$a_{o1} = 3.397$</td>
<td>$a_{o2} = 3.397$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer dedendum [mm]</td>
<td>$b_{o1} = 4.076$</td>
<td>$b_{o2} = 4.076$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face cone angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_{f1} = 21.318$</td>
<td>$\gamma_{f2} = 68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root cone angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_{r1} = 21.318$</td>
<td>$\gamma_{r2} = 68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum normal backlash [mm]</td>
<td>$B = 0.150$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean normal chordal addendum [mm]</td>
<td>$a_{c1} = 3.497$</td>
<td>$a_{c2} = 3.412$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean normal chordal tooth thickness [mm]</td>
<td>$t_{n1} = 5.271$</td>
<td>$t_{n2} = 5.276$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Basic machine-tool settings for a gear drive with linear contact and given backlash.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Machine-Tool Settings</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.280818$</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.250146$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.878687$</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.886702$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.280818$</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.250146$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 49.878687$</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 49.886702$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine center to back [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank offset [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding base [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine root angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m1} = 21.318$</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m2} = 68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-cradle roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1c} = 2.750710$</td>
<td>$m_{2c} = 1.073448$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-blade roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1b} = 0.317460$</td>
<td>$m_{2b} = 0.123417$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the outer pinion pitch radius. It cannot be directly compared with $B$ since circumferential backlash is obtained in transverse section. However, $B = \cos \psi_o B$, will be close to $B$, where $\psi_o$ is the outer spiral angle. On the other hand, the function of transmission errors is zero due to the conjugated action between pinion and gear tooth surfaces. Similar results will be obtained if opposite rotation of the pinion is provided.
generation. However, the radii are kept unmodified ($r_{ci} = r_{co} = 75.0 \text{ mm}$) for the gear generation. The basic machine-tool settings for such arrangement are shown in Table 4.

Results of TCA for such a gear drive provide linear contact on the convex side of the pinion tooth surfaces and a zero level for the function of transmission errors similar to the results shown in Fig. 11. However, the linear contact is sacrificed on the concave sides of the pinion tooth surfaces as it is shown in Fig. 13. A contact pattern directed along the profile direction is observed as a consequence of the different cutter radii. A similar evolution of circumferential backlash is observed.

Therefore, the advantages of application of additional slope angles are evident due to the possibility to reserve cutter radii just for the functionality to adjust the bearing contact whereas the control and final adjustment of tooth thickness and backlash will depend exclusively on the additional slope angles.

5.3. Adjusting the bearing contact in the cyclo-palloid system

Although linear contact represents a preferable solution for reducing contact and bending stresses due to the uniform distribution of the load on the tooth surfaces, the truth is that such a type of contact is very sensitive to errors of alignment. The cyclo-palloid system provides a procedure to adjust the formation of the bearing contact through the longitudinal and profile crowning of the tooth surfaces. Whereas different cutter radii may be employed for longitudinal crowning of the tooth surfaces, application of different parabola coefficients $a_p$ of the blade profiles (see Subsection 2.1) can be used for profile crowning. However, application of just profile crowning will result in a longitudinal bearing contact that is also very sensitive to errors of alignment.

A parabola coefficient $a_p = 0.0015 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ for the blades that generate the pinion tooth surfaces is considered whereas straight profiles are considered for gear generation. Cutter radii $r_{ci} = 75.0 \text{ mm}$ and $r_{co} = 75.5 \text{ mm}$ for the inner and outer blades, respectively, are considered either for pinion generation or for gear generation. For these settings, additional slope angles $\Delta \nu_1$ and $\Delta \nu_2$ have to be determined for observation of tooth thicknesses shown in Table 2 and
Figure 12: Geometry comparison between pinion tooth surfaces without slope modification, $\Sigma_i$, and with slope modification, $\Sigma_s$, for: (a) same rim angular position for the pinion tooth, (b) forcing contact between both surfaces.

Table 4: Basic machine-tool settings for pinion and gear with no additional slope angles and different cutter radii for pinion generation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Machine-Tool Settings</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner machine distance [mm] $M_{di}$</td>
<td>$86.154515$</td>
<td>$86.154515$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cradle angle [degrees] $q_{2i}$</td>
<td>$49.911665$</td>
<td>$49.991665$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer machine distance [mm] $M_{do}$</td>
<td>$86.638319$</td>
<td>$86.154515$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cradle angle [degrees] $q_{2o}$</td>
<td>$51.069306$</td>
<td>$49.911665$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine center to back [mm] $\Delta X_{B1}$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank offset [mm] $\Delta E_{m1}$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding base [mm] $\Delta X_{B2}$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
<td>$0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine root angle [degrees] $\gamma_m$</td>
<td>$21.318$</td>
<td>$68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-crade roll ratio $m_{1c}$</td>
<td>$2.750710$</td>
<td>$1.073448$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-blade roll ratio $m_{1b}$</td>
<td>$0.312500$</td>
<td>$0.121951$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

following the procedure described in Section 3. The results are $\Delta \nu_1 = 0.052453$ degrees and $\Delta \nu_2 = 0.027427$ degrees. Basic machine-tool settings are shown in Table 5.

Figure 14 shows the contact pattern and backlash evolution for the gear drive with adjusted bearing contact. Results are represented on the concave side of the pinion tooth surfaces. Similar results are obtained for the convex side if pinion rotation is inverted. Figure 15 shows the function of transmission errors for such a gear drive. A maximum level of transmission errors of about 8 arcsec is observed.

5.4. Compensating errors of alignment

Errors of alignment may be caused by shaft deflections. Determination of errors of alignment due to shaft deflections in spiral bevel gear drives is described in [16] and is out of the scope of this paper. Here, we assumed as given such errors of alignment to prove the goodness of the approach proposed in Section 4. The following errors are considered: $\Delta E = 0.221$ mm, $\Delta A_1 = -0.005$ mm, $\Delta A_2 = 0.188$ mm, and $\Delta \gamma = -0.062$ deg. (see Fig. 9).

Figure 16 shows the results of TCA and backlash evolution for the gear drive with adjusted bearing contact when the above mentioned errors of alignment are present. The contact pattern is shifted towards the heel in the convex side of the pinion tooth surfaces and toward the toe in the concave side. The circumferential backlash is increased.

Basic machine-tool settings for the geometries that compensate the errors of alignment are determined following Section 4 and are represented in Table 6. The same additional slope angles are used here ($\Delta \nu_1 = 0.052453$ degrees and $\Delta \nu_2 = 0.027427$ degrees) than in previous subsection. Figure 17 shows the results of TCA for such a gear drive when no errors of alignment are present (no load is transmitted). It is observed that the formation of the bearing contact is not centered on the pinion tooth surfaces. Circumferential backlash has been decreased. However, as soon as the load is transmitted and the alignment errors appear, the bearing contact will be shifted towards a centered position on the tooth surfaces, as it is shown in Fig. 18. It is also observed that the circumferential backlash has been increased.
6. Conclusions

Based on the performed research work, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- A model for computerized generation of cyclo-palloid spiral bevel gears is presented. Such a model establishes the kinematic conditions when an additional slope angle is applied for tooth thickness and backlash control.

- An analytical approach for determination of basic-machine tool settings for generation of a cyclo-palloid spiral bevel gear drive with linear contact for both directions of rotation is presented. Tooth thicknesses for backlash control are guaranteed through a numerical method that determines the required additional slope angles.

- A method for adjusting the bearing contact in spiral bevel gear drives produced through the cyclo-palloid system is presented without losing sight of backlash control.

- An analytical approach for compensation of errors of alignment due to shaft deflections caused by a nominal load is presented.
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Table 5: Basic machine-tool settings for pinion and gear with an adjusted bearing contact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Machine-Tool Settings</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.218428$</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.187936$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.894986$</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.902945$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.349162$</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.318731$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 50.217703$</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 50.225748$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine center to back [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank offset [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding base [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine root angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m1} = 21.318$</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m2} = 68.682$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-cradle roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1c} = 2.750710$</td>
<td>$m_{2c} = 1.073448$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-blade roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1b} = 0.315010$</td>
<td>$m_{2b} = 0.122463$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Basic machine-tool settings for pinion and gear with an adjusted bearing contact and compensation of errors of alignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Machine-Tool Settings</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.218428$</td>
<td>$M_{di} = 86.187936$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.894986$</td>
<td>$q_{2i} = 49.902945$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer machine distance [mm]</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.349162$</td>
<td>$M_{do} = 86.318731$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cradle angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 50.217703$</td>
<td>$q_{2o} = 50.225748$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine center to back [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D1} = -0.005$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{D2} = 0.188$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank offset [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m1} = 0.221$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_{m2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding base [mm]</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B1} = 0.0$</td>
<td>$\Delta X_{B2} = 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine root angle [degrees]</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m1} = 21.310$</td>
<td>$\gamma_{m2} = 68.628$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-cradle roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1c} = 2.751720$</td>
<td>$m_{2c} = 1.073842$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear-to-blade roll ratio</td>
<td>$m_{1b} = 0.315125$</td>
<td>$m_{2b} = 0.122508$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 14: Contact pattern and backlash evolution for a gear drive with adjusted bearing contact.

Figure 15: Function of transmission errors for a gear drive with adjusted bearing contact.

Figure 16: Contact pattern on both sides of pinion tooth and backlash evolution for a misaligned gear drive with adjusted bearing contact.
Figure 17: Contact pattern on both sides of pinion tooth and backlash evolution for an aligned gear drive with adjusted bearing contact and errors compensation.

Figure 18: Contact pattern on both sides of pinion tooth and backlash evolution for a misaligned gear drive with adjusted bearing contact and errors compensation.